

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,101	LUO ET AL.	
	Examiner Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. (3) _____.
 (2) James Kelly. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Daly et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and pointed the examiner to the definitions provided in the specification on page 15. Examiner agreed to consider all such arguments favorably before issuing the next Office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required